Functional Series 200 – Programming Policy ADS 200 – Introduction to Managing for Results

*This chapter has been substantively revised throughout.

Table of Contents

| <u> 200.1</u> | <u>OVERVIEW</u> | 2 |
|------------------------|--|-------------|
| 200.2 | PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES | |
| <u>200.3</u> | POLICY DIRECTIVES AND REQUIRED PROCEDURES | <u>5</u> |
| <u>200.3.1</u> | Performance-Informed and Results-Based Programming at USAID | 5 |
| 200.3.1.1 200.3.1.2 | History of Programming System Overview of Programming System | <u>5</u> |
| 200.3.2 | Core Values | <u>9</u> |
| 200.3.2.1 | Core Value - Managing for Results | |
| 200.3.2.2 | Core Value - Customer Focus | 11 |
| 200.3.2.3 | Core Value - Teamwork and Participation | 12 |
| 200.3.2.4 | Core Value - Empowerment and Accountability | |
| 200.3.2.5 | Core Value - Valuing Diversity | <u>15</u> |
| 200.3.3 | Basic Business Model for Maximizing Public-Private Alliances | |
| <u>200.4</u> | MANDATORY REFERENCES | <u>16</u> |
| <u>200.4.1</u> | External Mandatory References | <u>18</u> |
| 200.4.2 | Internal Mandatory References | . <u>20</u> |
| <u>200.5</u> | ADDITIONAL HELP | <u>23</u> |
| <u>200.6</u> | <u>DEFINITIONS</u> | <u>30</u> |

ADS 200 – Introduction to Programming Policy

200.1 OVERVIEW

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

This chapter provides an overview to ADS Series 200, which covers USAID's programming policy. Program policy guides the way in which USAID designs programs to achieve development results, implements those programs, and assesses them. It includes the two following types of policies:

- Operations Policy: The procedures and methods used by USAID to plan, achieve, assess, and learn from its programs. (Covered in <u>ADS 201-203</u> and the Mandatory References in 200.4.)
- Development Policy: Policy regarding the content of USAID development programs, including Agency choices among development goals, recommended practices in addressing particular development challenges, and policy directives and required procedures affecting specific aspects of program design and implementation. (Covered in <u>ADS 204-299</u> and the Mandatory References in 200.4.)

This chapter outlines the general principles that govern our results-based programming system. It includes a

- Vision that guides ongoing reform and improvement efforts;
- Description of core values;
- Description of results-based programming;
- List of additional programming policies organized by topic area; and
- List of term-of-art definitions.

200.2 PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

- a. Operating Units include both USAID field Missions and USAID/Washington organizations responsible for Bureau programs. Operating Units, usually working in a Strategic Objective Team context, develop Strategic Plans and Strategic Objectives that define the precise results that USAID holds itself accountable for achieving. Operating Units also create and support the SO Teams responsible for achieving results.
- **b.** Strategic and Special Objective Teams (SO Teams) are responsible for managing the achievement of Strategic and Special Objectives. SO Teams develop and implement activities designed to achieve objectives, take the lead in modifying SO

approaches when necessary, and often lead the development of new Strategic Plans. Team members serve as the focal point of interaction between USAID and partner organizations at an operational level. SO Team members are responsible for assessing and learning from the Agency's development experience.

- c. Regional Bureaus support and provide guidance to Operating Units in the field. Regional Bureaus and Operating Units in the field have responsibility and authority for the design, implementation, and evaluation of regional and country-specific Strategic Plans and programs. However, Regional Bureaus do not normally manage projects or programs. They operate within the context of the Agency's overall objectives and priorities, and with the technical support and guidance of the Pillar Bureaus. Regional Bureaus evaluate and approve regional and country programs for the region, and conduct periodic reviews of their performance and progress toward achieving program objectives. They recommend regional and country resource requirements, including operating expenses and food aid, within the Agency's overall budget process and within the context of overall Agency guidance. Within their regions, these Bureaus are also responsible for ensuring that Agency systems for internal controls and financial management operate efficiently. Additional specific functions of the Regional Bureaus include
 - Providing regional and country expertise and perspective at all points in the Agency decision-making process;
 - Evaluating the managerial performance of Bureau and Operating Unit staff;
 - Providing the principal point of Agency contact and coordination with the Department of State and other regionally-focused development organizations within and outside of the U.S. Government;
 - Coordinating at the regional and country level with other international donors and financial organizations;
 - Collaborating with the Bureau for Democracy, Conflict and Humanitarian Assistance (DCHA) in supporting the Agency's response to humanitarian and political crises;
 - Managing non-presence programs or any other programs that are not appropriately managed in the field or in other USAID/Washington Bureaus;
 - Providing regional and country-specific economic analysis support to Agency Bureaus, Offices and the Operating Units; and
 - Representing the Agency on Operating Unit and country program issues to Congress.

- d. Pillar Bureaus are the locus of technical leadership for the Agency. Their primary function is to provide technical advice and support to the Agency's Regional Bureaus and Operating Units in the field, as well as to PPC and other operational Offices. The Pillar Bureaus are vested with primary responsibility for research in areas relevant to overall Agency goals and objectives. The Pillars investigate and produce innovations and develop pilot projects for wider application in close collaboration with the technical staff of the Regional Bureaus. They also draw on knowledge of the most recent advances in their respective technical fields and share that knowledge throughout the Agency. Additional specific Pillar Bureau functions include
 - Providing technical support and advice for the design, implementation and evaluation of technical strategies and programs at the request of Regional Bureaus and Operating Units in the field;
 - Developing technical strategies and providing guidance in the formulation of Agency policy development and resource allocation;
 - Designing and implementing selected programs that address newly emerging global issues;
 - Developing and managing programs to provide core technical support services for Agency-wide access;
 - Maintaining communication with relevant professional communities, the Agency's development partners, and other U.S. Government (USG) agencies to keep them apprised of developments in relevant technical fields; and
 - Ensuring professional management of the Agency's technical and scientific staff, including assisting in recruitment, training, assignment, and career development.
- e. The Bureau for Policy and Program Coordination (PPC) is responsible for the Agency's overall policy formulation, planning, program and administrative resource allocation, and evaluation systems. PPC is responsible for the integration of performance into the Agency's overall management and resource allocation systems. It serves as the Agency's central staff to integrate bilateral economic and social development assistance in a manner consistent with U.S. foreign policy interests and objectives. In this context, the Bureau ensures that budget allocations and program content reflect USG strategic foreign policy interests, Administration priorities, Agency policies, and program performance. PPC houses the Agency's independent evaluation, performance measurement, and development information functions, as well as the Office of the Agency's Chief Economist. It defines and monitors USAID's program priorities. Finally, PPC leads USAID interactions with key development partners and other donor organizations. Additional specific functions of PPC include

- Clarifying objectives and reviewing appropriate and effective bilateral, regional, and sectoral strategies needed to advance the Agency's development program in support of USG foreign policy interests; and
- Developing, updating, and ensuring familiarity and compliance with the Agency's overall programming procedures.
- **f.** The Office of General Counsel (GC) and its regional legal advisors provide legal support and advice to Agency Offices and Operating Units on the full range of operational matters pertaining to USAID programs.
- **g.** The Bureau for Legislative and Public Affairs (LPA) has primary responsibility for interchange with external parties, including Congress and the American public.
- h. The Bureau for Management (M) ensures that the Agency's core systems related to acquisition and assistance, financial accounting and management, workforce management, and information management, are adequately integrated and support programming processes.
- i. The Global Development Alliance (GDA) is a temporary organizational unit attached to the Office of the Administrator. The GDA Secretariat works to mainstream the concept of public-private alliances within USAID; identifies alliance opportunities; provides technical assistance in developing alliances; develops and disseminates training materials and practical "how to" guides; assists with "due diligence" research on prospective alliance partners; helps develop processes and criteria to allocate resources; and directs assessment, research, and reporting activities. In addition, the Secretariat manages a small incentive fund.
- j. The Office of Security is responsible for security considerations.
- 200.3 POLICY DIRECTIVES AND REQUIRED PROCEDURES
- **200.3.1** Performance-Informed and Results-Based Programming at USAID Effective Date: 01/31/2003
- 200.3.1.1 History of Programming System

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

USAID revised its internal programming policies beginning in 1994 in response to broader U.S. Government efforts to reinvent the way the public sector works. Reinvention movements have revolutionized government operations on every continent, drawing extensively from private sector experience. As part of this revolution, legislatures have mandated that government agencies center their planning processes and organizational structures on intended program results. Such focus is intended to improve communications with the public, help ensure that resources are focused on agreed upon results, and promote results-level accountability.

U.S. Government reinvention efforts received special attention starting in the early 1990s. Congress passed the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) in 1993, which held federal agencies accountable for achieving program results and required federal agencies to define program goals and measure performance toward their achievement on an annual basis. Other legislation promoted the concept of focusing on customer service as a means of improving effectiveness. In total, more than 300 U.S. Government Agencies, Departments, and Units engaged in some type of reform or reinvention initiative. As part of this reform, most federal agencies have consolidated their policies, procedures, and best practices into a directives system.

USAID stands out as one of a handful of federal agencies that committed early to this type of effort on an Agency-wide basis. The decision to adopt changes on a wider basis at USAID was prompted by the two challenges below:

- **a.** The evolution of development needs and challenges in the post-Cold War period characterized by
 - Rapidly-changing development, transition, and post-conflict settings;
 - The rise of global environmental, health, and crime concerns;
 - A new international context with greater cross-border economic opportunities and risks;
 - Increased need to manage "failed state" transitions;
 - Greater demand for natural and man-made disaster recovery and mitigation;
 - Increased receptivity of host governments to work with non-government entities, and a corresponding rise in the capacity of private organizations to contribute to development processes; and
 - The increased importance of traditional and non-traditional development actors including ethnic diaspora, foundations, corporations, and universities whose aggregate resources outstrip traditional development assistance resources.
- **b.** The requirement to operate with significantly increased efficiency as a result of severe operating expense budget constraints.

USAID addressed these challenges by re-examining fundamental assumptions about internal operations and the relationship of USAID with partner organizations; the result was several phases of improvements to the Agency programming system beginning in 1995.

- In 1995, the first version of ADS chapters 201-203 was issued. Although many sections were heavily based on "Handbook 3," the programming approach in the ADS was more flexible than in the Handbooks; there was more emphasis on achieving results and less emphasis on mandatory procedures.
- In 1999-2000, the Agency reviewed experience with the new programming approach, which resulted in further modifications to reflect best practice, fill gaps, and meet current needs. Chapter 200 was added.
- In 2002, the Agency updated ADS chapters 200-203 in response to feedback from field and management rationale.

Starting in 2001, the Administration took further steps to improve USAID effectiveness as a key foreign policy instrument by coordinating and focusing Agency resources and capabilities to address globalization and trade, infectious disease, conflict, and the increased role of the private sector and other actors in development. The reform vision was meant to structure the organization around the Agency's mission to achieve its objectives and priorities, and to enable more efficient use of financial, technical, and human resources within the Agency. As a result, USAID brought together programs and activities that cut across all USAID funding accounts into three program Pillar Bureaus. The primary function of the Pillar Bureaus is to provide technical advice and support to the Agency's Regional Bureaus and Operating Units in the field, as well as to PPC and other operational Offices.

200.3.1.2 Overview of Programming System

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

The approach and philosophy embodied in USAID's programming system evolved from innovative techniques developed by USAID staff as they sought more effective ways to work in extremely varied and changing development environments. USAID reform efforts legitimized and expanded a variety of successful practices. Overall, the objectives of this system are to

- Limit Bureau approval process to results (objectives), as opposed to activity-level inputs and outputs;
- Link Bureau budget allocations to performance and results (objectives), as opposed to activities with defined inputs and outputs;
- Delegate activity design, approval, and budgeting decisions to Operating Units; and
- Establish teams that bridge organizational boundaries both within and outside of USAID as the basic organizational unit to manage development programs.

The system is designed to promote clarity in defining objectives and provide flexibility in selecting and implementing the activities to achieve them. A dynamic cycle of management functions lies at the heart of the system and includes three functions:

- Planning
- Achieving
- Assessing and Learning

These three functions operate within the context of two elements of management leadership – defining an organizational mission and vision and taking management initiatives. Figure 200A¹ illustrates this model.




Figure 200A: Dynamic Model of USAID Programming System

Before discussing each of the three main functions, it is worth pointing out three important linkages.

 The Agency mission, vision, and core values provide a framework that guides our planning. This framework is shaped by learning from past experience (both from USAID and others' experience) and is described in Mandatory Reference, Agency Strategic Plan. This plan also sets out an overall vision of what we want to accomplish through a statement of our overarching Agency goals, and represents a broad consensus on a framework for action that directly affects our planning efforts.

- Linking the planning and the assessing and learning functions are performance measures. We need to know whether we are succeeding, and we do this by establishing performance measures and performance targets before achievement takes place. We use these measures to assess progress and outcomes. When necessary, we work to develop better performance measures as we implement our programs. These measures help us stay focused on results throughout the three phases of our work.
- Assessing and learning is not the end of the process. It includes making
 decisions that lead to management initiatives that in turn put us back into
 planning. New planning could range from developing a new activity, to refining
 Strategic Objectives or Intermediate Results, to rethinking our tactics in an entire
 goal area of the Agency Strategic Plan. The latter could affect many subsequent
 objectives in different country or Washington programs.

200.3.2 Core Values

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

Values are deeply held beliefs that guide action in a wide range of circumstances. The USAID core values are an explicit statement of those values that we, as an Agency, seek to promote actively in order to improve our overall performance. Core values represent ideals we strive for, as opposed to a state that we have already achieved. In USAID, we specifically seek to promote five interrelated core values.

- Managing for results
- Customer focus
- Teamwork and participation
- Empowerment and accountability
- Valuing diversity

These core values help us focus on the things that matter: working with others; encouraging staff to take initiative and assume risks; embracing the cultural, social, and gender differences among us; and achieving development results. These values are reflected in how we organize our work and processes, delegate authority, engage partners and customers, judge the value of our efforts, and apply the regulations we are expected to follow.

Core values alone are not sufficient for success in a large government organization. Similarly, simply following the regulations in ADS chapters is not sufficient either. Applying the core values while implementing rules, regulations, and procedures leads us to achieve the most meaningful results rather than merely implement activities or administer resources.

200.3.2.1 Core Value – Managing for Results

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

Managing for results means that we seek to define and organize our work around the end result we seek to accomplish. This means making intended results explicit; ensuring agreement among partners, customers, and stakeholders that proposed results are worthwhile; and organizing our day-to-day work and interactions to achieve results as effectively as possible.

In the planning phase described in <u>ADS 201</u>, the processes and procedures used to define and reach agreement on intended results are explained. The planning phase includes the customers whom we intend to affect and benefit. The resulting Strategic Objectives and Intermediate Results (IRs) provide the rationale for allocating program and staff resources.

In the achieving phase described in <u>ADS 202</u>, we use Strategic Objective Teams to keep our partners and ourselves focused on intended results. This provides flexibility in mobilizing staff and program resources around each objective while meeting the regulatory and accountability requirements that we must follow. We seek to optimize integration with other key Agency systems, especially budgeting, acquisition and assistance, and financial management, in order to minimize internal barriers to performance.

In the assessing and learning phase described in <u>ADS 203</u>, we define how we assess our progress on an ongoing basis, decide on modifying activities when needed, make changes to results based on experiences or other factors, and learn from success or failure so as to improve our ability to succeed in future programs.

Accountability for Results

In the development work that is the core of our programs, we almost never have total control over the results we seek to accomplish. Indeed, development results that would be within our control are not likely to represent sustainable development. Rather than limit ourselves to mundane, safe, but not useful results, our goal is to select objectives that reach high and inspire others but that are also within our manageable interests. The concept of manageable interest recognizes that achievement of results requires joint action on the part of many other actors such as host country governments, institutions, other donors, civil society, and the private sector. When an objective is within our manageable interest, it means that we have reason to believe that

- Our ability to influence, organize, and support others around commonly shared goals can lead to the achievement of desired results; and
- The probability of success is high enough to warrant expending program and staff resources.

In such cases, the concept of accountability for results means that Strategic Objective Teams, Operating Units in the field, and Washington Operating Units are expected to

- Make intelligent, informed choices on what results to pursue;
- Manage proactively towards those results;
- Respond effectively to the inevitable changes in the development and policy environment that affect the feasibility of our selected results by modifying tactics or strategies including the use of public-private alliances as a way to meet those objectives;
- Provide transparency and objectivity when reporting problems and progress; and
- Help the entire Agency learn from successes and failures.

Accountability is achieved through meeting these requirements, rather than simply by achievement of agreed-upon (numerical) targets.

200.3.2.2 Core Value – Customer Focus

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

Our development assistance objectives require that we identify who our customers are and secure their participation to help us choose a variety of approaches. We focus on our customers to understand their needs and help us focus our efforts and resources on the most productive tasks. As provided in **200.6**, there are several types of USAID customers.

- Ultimate customer Those host country individuals, especially the socially and economically disadvantaged, who are beneficiaries of USAID assistance and whose participation is essential to achieving sustainable development results.
- Intermediate customer Those organizations, including host country governments, that receive USAID services and resources to implement programs that are designed to benefit the ultimate customer.
- Internal customer Bureaus, Operating Units (both in Washington and in the field), and individuals within USAID that benefit from, and participate in, the activities undertaken by other Bureaus, Offices, and individuals within the Agency.
- Washington and U.S.-based customer Those entities at whose behest USAID carries out foreign assistance. This includes Congress, the taxpayer, and other relevant Government agencies, including the Department of State.

We embody this core value in our work by

- Exercising participatory planning techniques to obtain direct involvement of customers, and to identify their aspirations and priorities;
- Consulting with organizations representing the interests of customers;
- Monitoring customer participation and consistently seeking feedback through surveys, field visits, and open forums to determine if our efforts are consistent with the aspirations or needs of our customers;
- Using customer information to frame program strategies and to design specific interventions; and
- Communicating back to customers on how their recommendations have been incorporated into programs.

USAID results-focused programming systems are intended to help ensure that our programs and priorities are as responsive as possible to customer needs. In many cases, we will not be able to produce the products and services some customers prefer. In such cases, customer focus means being clear with our customer about what we can and cannot do. Another aspect of customer focus involves managing potential conflict between customer groups who perceive themselves as gaining or losing as a result of a particular intervention.

200.3.2.3 Core Value – Teamwork and Participation

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

The core value of teamwork and participation represents a belief that we are more effective when we work collaboratively with others, through teams or joint consultations with those who have expertise or interest in the outcome of our work. Working more effectively is directly linked to managing for results. Therefore, the teamwork and participation core value is also directly linked to our results orientation.

- **a. Teamwork.** Teamwork is the coming together of a group of people who are
 - Committed to achieving defined results;
 - Vested with the authority to make decisions; and
 - Willing to be held jointly accountable for achieving results.

These points help to distinguish teams from committees or work groups that include representatives from different units. In a traditional office-based structure, committees and groups are formed with the idea that each member

represents and promotes the goals of his or her unit. There is no presumed commitment to accept responsibility to pursue a new goal or result that transcends the different units represented on the committee. If the members of a group agree to share responsibility for achieving common results and have the agreement and support of their home unit to take on this responsibility, then the group takes on the characteristic of a team.

Teams are used in USAID for many different purposes. They may have specific, long-term or short-term tasks and have full-time or part-time members.

b. Participation. Participation is the active involvement of people in decisions that affect them. At USAID, we seek to involve customers, partners, and stakeholders. Partners are those individuals and organizations who work with us (formally or informally) to achieve shared objectives. Stakeholders are individuals and organizations who have an interest in the outcome of USAID programs.

The objective of participation is to improve the quality of our decisions and to ensure that we have the support we need from others to succeed. In the case of public-private alliances, participation means jointly defining the development problem and its solutions with partners. An agreement is then reached between the development partners to share resources, risks, and results in pursuit of an objective that can be better obtained through joint effort. Alliance participation is intended to leverage significant resources that may include financial resources, in-kind contributions, and intellectual property. In some cases, participation in public-private alliances will mean looking toward new partners. The principle of joint planning means developing plans with those who have a stake or interest in our programs. The object of joint planning is to ensure broader Agency ownership and support for our plans and actions.

In our programming system, we practice teamwork and participation by establishing and supporting Strategic Objective (SO) Teams to manage each SO that is financed by USAID. SO Teams are structured to permit inclusion of a broad range of members beyond USAID staff, including other federal agencies, grantees, contractors, other partner organizations, customers, and stakeholders.

The results of effective teamwork and active participation include

- Better decisions,
- More effective work or business processes,
- An increased sense of broad-based ownership for program results,
- An improved likelihood of sustainable program impact, and

• In the case of public-private alliances, leveraging resources more effectively to meet Agency development objectives.

Concerns over conflict of interest and governmental decision-making need to be taken into account when involving others in SO Teams. Related policies and best practices in ADS 202 give USAID staff a variety of tools for promoting teamwork and participation while avoiding these difficulties.

SO Teams use many different means of ensuring broad participation beyond recruitment of non-USAID team members. These include participatory planning and evaluation methods, regularly scheduled consultative groups, rapid appraisal techniques, and so forth. In the case of public-private alliances, regular participation may imply establishing new forms of working and funding relationships. These include the establishment of new operating vehicles, which in turn could mean that USAID may have less control over the direction of an activity than through a traditional USAID funded activity. Through active participatory processes, the SO Team ensures that USAID partners and customers are engaged in program planning, achieving, and assessing and learning.

200.3.2.4 Core Value – Empowerment and Accountability

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

The core value of empowerment and accountability reflects the belief that success requires that we push decision-making to those organizational units that are closest to the "front lines" and that we maintain an appropriate balance between authority and responsibility. Empowerment and accountability directly complement the core values of teamwork and managing for results.

In practice, this means delegating authority to those who need it to help the Agency succeed in achieving important results. It also means that authority should be delegated consistent with the capacity to carry it out in a responsible and accountable manner. This value recognizes that delegating responsibility alone, without the necessary concurrent authority, is a recipe for failure. It recognizes that to succeed, we must delegate authorities to the people closest to the action, who are in the best position to see and react to a changing environment and to the changing needs of ultimate customers, partners, and stakeholders. Finally, it recognizes that managers who delegate and empower their staff have a responsibility to ensure that staff are adequately trained and supported so that they can meet the accountability requirements that correspond to the authority delegated. This can include providing information on changing internal and external policy mandates.

Empowerment means that we are accountable for our decisions. If a manager (or team) is empowered to make decisions, he or she must have sufficient knowledge of the legal, ethical, and policy-related issues that affect those decisions.

Part of accountability means exercising high ethical standards and personal integrity in all matters. This expectation pertains to both teams and individual employees.

We use empowerment and accountability to give Strategic Objective Teams official authority to make and implement decisions and to accept responsibility for decision-making. As an organization that focuses on its customers, we put the authority for decisions as close as possible to the point where the impact is achieved. Empowerment means we allow teams to take considered risks and to adjust their programs in light of new developments and the constantly changing broader environment affecting the Agency. With accountability, we must provide them with parameters related to Agency goals as well as legal, policy, and ethical standards and requirements. We can achieve this balance between empowerment and accountability by eliminating or replacing unnecessary rules and policy directives and by being transparent and clear about the rules and policies that we must have. The continual upward and downward flow of information, to and from Strategic Objective Teams, particularly concerning changing internal and external foreign policy and Congressional factors, is an essential component of empowerment and accountability.

Within a team structure there is individual accountability; therefore, team input is necessary for individual performance evaluations. When individuals successfully show results from teamwork, we must reward both team and individual performance.

200.3.2.5 Core Value – Valuing Diversity

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

Valuing diversity reflects a belief that there is more than one way to look at the world and that our work will improve if we consciously seek to take into account the diversity of views, experience, skills, capabilities, and beliefs of those around us.

Valuing diversity recognizes that our success as an organization depends on having a multicultural workforce that works effectively with diverse customers, stakeholders, and partners all around the world. We must ensure that our own workplace environment promotes

- Diversity, experience, and contributions of others;
- Mutual respect for all individuals;
- Opportunity for team members to contribute to the full extent of their ability on Strategic Objective Teams and other settings;
- Synergy and the benefits of bringing together people of different backgrounds and skills to accomplish the Agency mission; and
- Non-discrimination based on race, color, religion, national origin, physical or mental disability, sex, age, or sexual orientation. (See <u>ADS 110</u>, Equal Opportunity Employment)

Valuing diversity is demonstrated within the Agency by the way teams are composed and, more importantly, by the way program decisions are made. For example, are discussions on vision and problem analysis conducted with a broad and diverse audience? Do team decisions respect all members' input regardless of race, color, religion, national origin, physical or mental disability, sex/gender, age, sexual orientation, ethnicity, nationality (especially in the case of Foreign Service (FSN) and Third Country National (TCN) staff within USAID and host country partners), and organizational status (such as rank, grade, and position)?

200.3.3 Basic Business Model for Maximizing Public-Private Alliances Effective Date: 01/31/2003

USAID should work closely with traditional and non-traditional development actors. Wherever possible, this cooperation should take the form of public-private alliances characterized by the following features a shared understanding of the development problem or issue; a shared belief that an alliance will be more effective than any approach taken by a single actor; a shared commitment of resources; and perhaps, most important, a willingness to share risks. In some cases, entire Strategic Objectives (SO) may be framed in the form of public-private alliances; in other cases, alliances are relevant in the case of individual Intermediate Results (IR), but not for the SO as a whole; in most cases, public-private alliances will operate at the sub-IR level. Operating Units should consider the applicability of public-private alliances at each of these levels and seek practical ways to involve alliance partners in relevant aspects of Agency planning, achieving, and assessing and learning processes.

200.4 MANDATORY REFERENCES

Resolving Conflicting Guidance. Many internal Mandatory References were developed before the 1995 modifications to the programming system. As such, some references refer to documentation and approval requirements that apply to documents and processes that are no longer in use (for example, Country Development Strategy Statements, Project Papers, Project Authorizations). Until these references are updated, you should determine the most appropriate way to meet any mandatory requirements that do not correspond to current approval or documentation steps. In cases where this is difficult to determine, contact your regional legal advisor or the Bureau for Policy and Program Coordination, Office of Strategic and Performance Planning (PPC/SPP).

Due to staff limitations, updating the policies and guidance contained in the tables below will take time. If you find that some mandatory policies are particularly problematic and should be considered for early revision or deletion, please contact PPC. We will use this information to determine priorities in revision efforts.

Where to find guidance in other chapters of the ADS. Each of the three functions summarized in this chapter (planning, achieving, and assessing and learning) has specific procedures and processes that are outlined in ADS 201, 202, and 203

respectively. Other chapters of the ADS provide more detail on specific elements of relevance to the USAID programming system. Sample areas are listed below.

| ADS Chapters | Series Topic | AVAILABLE AT |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| ADS Series 100 | Agency Organization and Legal Affairs | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/100 |
| Chapter 102 | Agency Organization | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/100/102.pdf |
| Chapter 103 | Delegation of Authority | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/100/103.pdf |
| ADS Series 200 | Programming Policy | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200 |
| Chapter 200 (this chapter) | Introduction to Managing for Results | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200.pdf |
| Chapter 201 | Planning | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/201.pdf |
| Chapter 202 | Achieving | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/202.pdf |
| Chapter 203 | Assessing and Learning | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/203.pdf |
| Chapter 204 – 253 | Other programming policies on specific topics | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200 |
| ADS Series 300 | Acquisition and Assistance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300 |
| Chapter 350 | Grants to Foreign Governments | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300/350.pdf |
| ADS Series 400 | Personnel | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/400 |
| ADS Series 500 | Management Services | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/500 |
| Chapter 501 | The Automated Directives System | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/500/501.pdf |
| ADS Series 600 | Budget and Finance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/600 |
| Chapter 624 | Host Country-Owned Foreign Currency | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/600/624.pdf |

Additional policies and guidance. USAID staff should familiarize themselves with Agency programming policy related to the types of programs they are designing and implementing and should ensure that those programs are consistent with applicable policy. Moreover, USAID staff must ensure that programs conform to all mandatory guidance. You should identify relevant policy early in the planning process and factor it into planning and related decision-making processes from the outset.

The Agency Strategic Plan is the broadest statement of USAID programming policy. It is complemented by a considerable body of detailed programming policy covered in the reference tables below. These tables contain the more commonly used references related to programming. However, it is not possible to identify all Mandatory References, particularly legal provisions, which may be applicable in a particular situation. This list will be revised and updated periodically by PPC. The tables are divided into External Mandatory References, Internal Mandatory References, and Additional Help References.

200.4.1 External Mandatory References

The comprehensive list below contains all External Mandatory Reference documents related to ADS chapters 200 through 203. External Mandatory References are relevant Federal statutes, Executive Orders, and other external regulations (such as USAID-specific regulations, Uniform Foreign Affairs Regulations, and some U.S. Government-wide regulations). Some of the mandatory material consists of guidance that identifies specific approaches that are required in implementing particular types of development programs, as well as detailed guidance on application of certain restrictions, procedures, or accountability standards that affect programming of USAID resources. This list also includes broader statements of Agency goals, guiding principles, views on best practices, and preferred approaches in addressing particular types of development challenges. These reference documents are available by hyperlink if you open this ADS chapter electronically or by the most recent ADS CD.

| EXTERNAL MANDATORY REFERENCE TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|---|---|
| 22 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 62, Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 (Fulbright-Hays Act) | http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_02/ 22cfr62_02.html |
| 22 CFR 203, Registration of Agencies for Voluntary Foreign Aid | http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_02/ 22cfr203_02.html |
| 22 CFR 211, Transfer of Food Commodities for Food Use in Disaster Relief, Economic Development and other Assistance | http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_02/ 22cfr211_02.html |
| 22 CFR 216, Environmental Procedures | http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_02/ 22cfr216_02.html |
| 22 CFR 225, Protection of Human Subjects | http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_02/ 22cfr225_02.html |
| 22 CFR 226, Administration of Assistance Awards to U.S. Non-Governmental Organizations | http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_02/ 22cfr226_02.html |
| 22 CFR 228, Rules on Source, Origin and Nationality for Commodities and Services Financed by USAID | http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_02/ 22cfr228_02.html |
| Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), [48 CFR Chapter 1] | http://www.arnet.gov/far/ |
| Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1998, beyond original FMFIA | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/fmfia98.pdf |
| Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (FAA) | http://www.usaid.gov/about/usaidhist.html |
| International Affairs Strategic Plan (IASP) | http://state.gov/m/rm/rls/iastrat/ |
| Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Policy Letter 92-1, Inherently Governmental Functions | http://www.arnet.gov/Library/OFPP/PolicyLetters/Letters/PL92-1.html |

| EXTERNAL MANDATORY REFERENCE TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|---|---|
| OFPP Policy Letter 97-1, Procurement System Education, Training and Experience Requirements for Acquisitions Personnel | http://www.arnet.gov/Library/OFPP/PolicyLetters/Letters/PL97-01.html |
| Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin 98-08, Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements, and amendment OMB Bulletin 98-04 | http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/bulletins/98- 08.html and http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/bulletins/b98- 04.html |
| OMB Circular A-11, Preparation, Submission, and Execution of the Budget | http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a11/0 2toc.html |
| OMB Circular No. A-123, Management Accountability and Control | http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a123/a123.html |
| Public Law 101-576, Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 | http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi- bin/query/z?c101:H.R.5687.ENR: |
| Public Law 102-62, Government Performance and Results Act [GPRA] of 1993 | http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi- bin/bdquery/z?d102:SN00064: TOM:/bss/d102q uery.html |
| Public Law 102-511, Freedom Support Act of 1992, Freedom for Russia and Emerging Eurasian Democracies and Open Markets Support Act | http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi- bin/query/z?c102:S.2532.ENR: |
| Public Law 103-356, Government Management Reform Act [GMRA] of 1994 | http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi- bin/query/z?c103:S.2170.ENR: |
| USAID Acquisition Regulations (AIDAR) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300/aidar.pdf |
| 31 U.S.C. Chapter 39, Prompt Pay (Federal Prompt Payment Act) | http://uscode.house.gov/DOWNLOAD/31C39.D OC |
| 22 U.S.C. Chapter 63, Support for Eastern European Democracy (SEED) Act of 1989 | http://uscode.house.gov/DOWNLOAD/22C63.D OC |
| 41 U.S.C. 253, Competition in Contracting Act | http://law2.house.gov/uscode- cgi/fastweb.exe?getdoc+uscview+t41t42+118+0 ++() AND ((41) ADJ USC):CITE AND (USC w/10 (253)):CITE |
| 31 U.S.C. 1341, Limitations on expending and obligating amounts (includes the Federal Anti-Deficiency Act) | http://uscode.house.gov/uscode- cgi/fastweb.exe?getdoc+uscview+t29t32+1801+ 0++%28%29%20%20A |
| 31 U.S.C. 6301-8, Federal Grants and Cooperative Agreements Act | http://uscode.house.gov/uscode- cgi/fastweb.exe?getdoc+uscview+t29t32+2086+ 0++%28%29%20%20A |

200.4.2 Internal Mandatory References

The comprehensive list below contains all Internal Mandatory Reference documents related to ADS chapters 200-203. Internal Mandatory References are created by the Agency, and contain policy and procedures that supplement ADS chapters. These reference documents are available by hyperlink if you open this ADS chapter electronically or by the most recent ADS CD.

| CATEGORY | INTERNAL MANDATORY REFERENCE TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Goal Area: | Policy Determination (PD) #12 – Human Rights | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd12.pdf |
| Democracy & Governance | USAID Political Party Assistance Policy | RESERVED |
| | Microenterprise Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mas. pdf |
| Goal Area: Economic Growth & | Policy Determination (PD) #15 – Assistance to Support Agricultural Export Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd15.pdf |
| Agricultural Development | PD #20 – U.S. Programs and U.S. Jobs [also listed under Other Issues] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/updates/i u2-0304.pdf |
| | PD #71 – USAID Financing of Palm Oil, Citrus and Sugar Projects and Related Products | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd71.pdf |
| Goal Area: Education & | Policy Paper: Program Focus Within Basic Education | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mad. pdf |
| Training | USAID-Higher Education Community Partnership | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/higher_e d/highed.pdf |
| | Energy | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/energy/energy.pdf |
| Goal Area: Environment | Environment Strategy | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/environ/environ.pdf |
| & Energy | Guidance on Complying with the Knollenberg Amendment for Climate Change-Related Programs | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200maa. pdf |
| Goal Area: Health & Population | A Collaborative Approach to Reviewing HIV/AIDS Strategies | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200max. pdf |
| | Guidance on the Definition and Use of the Child Survival and Health Programs Fund | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mab. pdf |
| | Guidance on the New Monitoring and Evaluation Reporting System Requirements for HIV/AIDS | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200maw. pdf |

| CATEGORY | INTERNAL MANDATORY REFERENCE TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|--|---|--|
| Goal Area: Health & Population, continued | Population Assistance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/population/populat.pdf |
| | TAACS (Using Technical Advisors in AIDS & Child Survival Programs) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mat.p df |
| | USAID Policy on Female Genital Cutting | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mac. pdf |
| Goal Area: Humanitarian Assistance and Food Aid | Post-Crisis Planning and Implementation—USAID Policies and Regulations | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200may. pdf |
| | Appropriate Use and Funding of USAID's Non-Direct Hire Workforce, Series 400, Interim Update #2 | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/400/updates/i u4-02.pdf |
| | Implementation of Policy Guidance Concerning Fellows, Series 400, Interim Update #3 | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/400/updates/i u4-03.pdf |
| | Non Presence Programming Procedures | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mag. pdf |
| Other Issues | PD #20 – U.S. Programs and U.S. Jobs [also listed under Economic Growth and Agricultural Development] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/updates/i u2-0304.pdf |
| | Records Disposition Schedule – Agency-wide (USAID/W and Missions) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/500/50255dm .pdf |
| | Strategic Objective Document Disposition Schedule | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/500/502mab. pdf |
| | USAID Disability Policy Paper | http://www.usaid.gov/about/disability/policies.html |
| Operational Policy: Planning | Acquisition and Assistance Policy Directive (AAPD) #02-10, Cost Sharing in Grants and Cooperative Agreements to NGOs (also see ADS 303) | http://www.usaid.gov/procurement_bus_opp/ procurement/cib/pdf/aapd02_10.pdf |
| | PD #21 – Guidelines: Endowments Financed with Appropriated Funds | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd21.pdf |
| | Procedures for the Protection of Human Subjects in Research Supported by USAID | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/humansub.pdf |

| CATEGORY | INTERNAL MANDATORY REFERENCE TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Operational | Program Assistance (includes information on non-project assistance, sector program assistance, cash transfers, balance of payments support, and budget support) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/prog_ass t/proasst.pdf |
| Policy: Planning, continued | Requirements for Congressional Notifications FY2000 Operating Year Budget | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mak. pdf |
| | USAID Strategic Plan, 2000 | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mar.p df |
| | USAID – U.S. PVO Partnership Policy Guidance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200mau. pdf |
| | Cash Transfer and Interest Earnings [94 State 205189] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/205189.p df |
| | ESF Cash Transfer Assistance - Amplified Policy Guidance [87 State 325792] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/325792.p |
| Cash Transfer Guidance | Financial Management Guidance on Dollar Separate Accounts for ESF Cash Transfers and ESF-, DA and DFA-Funded Non-Project Sector Assistance Cash Disbursements [90 State 194322] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/194322.p df |
| | Supplemental Guidance on Programming and Managing Host Country-Owned Local Currency [91 State 204855] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/204855.p df |
| | PD #18 – Local Currency | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd18.pdf |
| | USAID Exchange Rate Policy Guidance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/exchrate.pdf |
| Operational Policy: Achieving | Choosing Between Acquisition and Assistance Instruments, Series 300, Interim Update #17 | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300/updates/i u3-17.pdf |
| | Contract Information Bulletin (CIB) 99-17, Organizational Conflict of Interest | http://www.usaid.gov/procurement_bus_opp/ procurement/cib/pdf/cib9917.pdf |
| | Inherently Governmental Functions as Defined by the Office of Management and Budget in Office of Federal Procurement Policy Letter 92-1, Dated September 23, 1992 | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/600/60157m1 .pdf |

| CATEGORY | INTERNAL MANDATORY REFERENCE TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|---|--|---|
| Operational Policy: Achieving, continued | Policy Guidance on Criteria for Payment of Salary Supplements for Host Government Employees [88 State 119780] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/119780.p df |
| | Past Performance Handbook, Contractor Performance Report Card | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300/30259m1 .pdf |

200.5 ADDITIONAL HELP

The comprehensive list below contains all Additional Help documents related to ADS chapters 200-203. Additional Help references provide detailed information about Agency policy, guidance, and procedures. Use of these Additional Help documents is encouraged, but not required. These documents do not specify required actions or other requirements. These reference documents are available by hyperlink if you open this ADS chapter electronically or by the most recent ADS CD.

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|------------------------|---|--|
| | Alternative Dispute Resolution Practitioners Guide | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbe.p df |
| | Civil-Military Relations: USAID's Role | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbf.p df |
| | Conducting a DG Assessment: A Framework for Strategy Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pnagc50 5.pdf |
| | Decentralization and Democratic Local Governance Programming Handbook | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200saz.p df |
| Goal Area: | Democracy and Governance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/demgov/ demogov.pdf |
| Democracy & Governance | Democracy and Governance: A Conceptual Framework | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/cframe.p |
| | Handbook of Democracy & Governance Program Indicators | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/indhndbk .pdf |
| | Handbook on Fighting Corruption | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/crpthdbk.pdf |
| | Managing Assistance in Support of Political & Electoral Processes | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sba.p df |
| | Role of the Media in Democracy: A Strategic Approach | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbc.p |
| | USAID Handbook on Legislative Strengthening | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbb.p df |

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|---|--|---|
| Goal Area: Democracy & Governance, continued | USAID Political Party Development Assistance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbd.p df |
| | Agricultural Sector Assessments | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200san.p df |
| | AID Food and Agriculture Strategy | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200saa.p df |
| | Cooperative Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/coopdev/coopdev.pdf |
| | Design of Urban and Environmental Credit (UEC) Program | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/2506s1.p df |
| | Economic Analysis of Assistance Activities | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/2026s6.p df |
| | Financial Markets Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/finmkts/finmkts.pdf |
| | Food and Agricultural Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/foodagri/foodagri.pdf |
| Goal Area: Economic Growth & | Introduction to Food Security Analysis | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sab.p df |
| Agricultural Development | Loan Refinancing | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/25054s1. pdf |
| | PD #13 – Land Tenure | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd13.pdf |
| | PD #14 – Implementing USAID Privatization Objectives | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd14.pdf |
| | PD #22 – Telecommunication, Information, and the Global Information Infrastructure | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd22.pdf |
| | PD #52 – Policy Determination on Labor Manpower | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd52.pdf |
| | Pricing, Subsidies, and Related Policies in Food and Agriculture | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pricing/pr icing.pdf |
| | Role of Resource Transfers in U.S. Economic Assistance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/restrans.pdf |
| | Trade Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200say.p df |
| Goal Area: | Basic Education and Technical Training | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/basiced/basic_ed.pdf |
| Education & Training | Education Sector Assessment [Vol. 5, Strategy Development and Project Design] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sac.p df |

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Domestic Water and Sanitation | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/water/ind ex.html |
| | Environment and Natural Resources | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/envirnat/envirnat.pdf |
| | Guidance for Preparation of Background Assessments on Biological Diversity and Tropical Forests for Use in CDSS or Other Country Plans | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbh.p df |
| | Initial Environmental Examination | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/25052s1. pdf |
| Goal Area: Environment | Making Cities Work: USAID's Urban Strategy | http://www.makingcitieswork.org/ |
| & Energy | PD #6 – Environmental and Natural Resource Aspects of Development Assistance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd6.pdf |
| | PD #7 – Forestry Policy and Programs | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd07.pdf |
| | Shelter | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/shelter/s helter.pdf |
| | Summary Description of FAA sections 118(e) and 119(d) Requirements for Preparing Strategic Plans | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200saj.p df |
| | Urban Development Policy | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/urban_de v/urbandev.pdf |
| | Expanded Response Guide to Core Indicators for Monitoring and Reporting on HIV/AIDS Programs | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbk.p |
| | Handbook of Indicators for HIV/AIDS/STI Programs | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/PNACK416.pdf |
| | Health Assistance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/health/health.pdf |
| Goal Area: Health & Population | Monitoring and Reporting on HIV/AIDS Programs [02 State 046436] | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbl.p |
| | Nutrition | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/nutrition/nutritio.pdf |
| | UNAIDS National AIDS Programmes: A Guide to Monitoring and Evaluation | http://www.afrea.org/AfreaPDFs/Afrea1.pdf |
| | UNAIDS/UNGASS: Monitoring the Declaration of Commitment on HIV/AIDS | http://www.unaids.org/UNGASS/docs/JC718- CoreIndic_en.pdf |

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | FAS Online Food Aid Programs Summary & web entry (Pub. L. 480) | http://www.fas.usda.gov/excredits/pl480/pl48 Obrief.html |
| | FAS OnlineFood Aid, Section 416(b) | http://www.fas.usda.gov/excredits/pl480/polic y.html |
| Goal Area: | Field Operations Guide for Disaster Assessment & Response | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/fog_v3.p df |
| Humanitarian Assistance & | Food Aid and Food Security Policy Paper | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/foodsec/foodsec.pdf |
| Food Aid | Food for Peace (Pub. L. 480, Titles II & III), Formerly HB 9 | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/handbk.pdf Contact DCHA/FFP for additional assistance |
| | Mitigation Practitioner's Handbook | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/hbkoct18 .pdf |
| | OFDA Guidelines for Grant Proposals and Reporting | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pvoguide .pdf |
| | PD #19 – Definition of Food Security | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd19.pdf |
| | Conflict Prevention Guidance for Strategic Planning | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sav.p df |
| | Donor Coordination Strategies | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sad.p df |
| | Gender Plan of Action | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/gplana96 .pdf |
| | Institutional Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/instdev/instdev.pdf |
| Goal Area: Cross-Cutting Issues | PD #73 – Policy on USAID-U.S. Cooperative Organization Relationships | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd73.pdf |
| | USAID Research: Policy Framework, Principles and Operational Guidance | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/polframe.pdf |
| | USAID's Strategy for Sustainable Development: An Overview | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sai.p df |
| | Women in Development Policy Paper | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/women/womendev.pdf |
| Operational Policy: Planning | Budget Cycle as of June 1, 2000 (Details of the USAID Budget Cycle) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sax.p |
| | Country Eligibility Checklist Index | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sal.p df |
| | FY2002 USAID Statutory Checklists (Template for Country Checklist and Assistance Checklist) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/202saa.p df |

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|--|---|---|
| | GC's Description of Inherently Governmental Functions re: teams (not a legal determination) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sam. pdf |
| | Guaranty Authorization | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/25034s1. pdf |
| | Guidelines for Strategic Plans | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/statplan.pdf |
| | How to Choose between 632(a) and 632(b) - Memoranda of Understanding and Inter-Agency Agreements | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sat.p |
| | Model Checklist for Pre-Obligation Requirements | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sar.p df |
| Operational Policy: Planning, continued | National Security Decision Directive Number 298 – National Operations Security Program | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/nsdd298. pdf |
| | Official Files (for Strategic Objectives) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sae.p df |
| | Performance Management Toolkit | http://www.usaidresults.org/USAID/sitetemp. nsf/Toolkits%20Details?OpenPage&AutoFra med |
| | PD #4 – Title XII | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd04.pdf |
| | Regulations Implementing Section 487 of Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/135276.p |
| | Results-Oriented Assistance: A USAID Sourcebook | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/sourcebook/usgov |
| | Social Soundness Analysis | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/2026s7.p df |
| | Strategic Plan Checklist | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sbi.p df |
| | UEC Loan Documentation | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/25031s1. pdf |
| | USAID and Other Websites Providing Helpful References and Other Information | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sah.p |
| | USAID Multi-Year Budget Process | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sau.p df |
| | USAID Reform Roadmap | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sap.p df |

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|----------------------|--|--|
| | 632a Draft Memorandum of Understanding | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sat.p df |
| | Activity Information Sheet (AIS) – Database to access | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sat.p df OR |
| | | http://cdie.usaid.gov/npc/ (accessible only within the USAID firewall) |
| | Detailed Guide for Training Results | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/253maa. pdf |
| | Federal Advisory Committee Act, Title 5 – U.S.C Appendix 2 (against establishing new advisory committees for government) | http://uscode.house.gov/DOWNLOAD/05A.D OC |
| | Form to Use Before Obligating Funds | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200sag.p df |
| | Guidance on Consultation and Avoidance of Unfair Competitive Advantage | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200saf.p |
| | Guidelines for Financial Analysis of Activities | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/2026s5.p df |
| Operational | Implementation Letters | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/20259s1. pdf |
| Policy: Achieving | Key Individual Certification Narcotics Offenses & Drug Trafficking (See ADS 206 for more information) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/20657m1 .pdf |
| | Legal and Policy Considerations when Involving Partners and Customers on Strategic Objective Teams and Other Consultations | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/2016s1.p df |
| | Participant Certification Narcotics Offenses and Drug Trafficking (See ADS 206 for more information) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/20657m2 .pdf |
| | Recurrent Costs: Problems in Less Developed Countries | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/rec_cost/ recurcst.pdf |
| | Results Act, An Evaluator's Guide to Assessing Agency Annual Performance Plans (GAO/GGD- 10.1.20) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/gaoresul.pdf |
| | Sample 632(a) Memorandum of Agreement to Allocate Funds From USAID to Another Agency | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300/306sam. pdf |
| | Sample 632(a) Memorandum of Agreement to Transfer Funds From USAID to Another Agency | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300/306sai.p df |

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | TIPS 01, Conducting a Participatory Evaluation | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnabs539.pdf |
| | TIPS 02, Conducting Key Informant Interviews | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnabs541.pdf |
| | TIPS 03, Preparing an Evaluation Scope of Work | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby207.pdf |
| | TIPS 04, Using Direct Observation Techniques | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby208.pdf |
| | TIPS 05, Using Rapid Appraisal Methods | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby209.pdf |
| | TIPS 06, Selecting Performance Indicators | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby214.pdf |
| | TIPS 07, Preparing a Performance Monitoring Plan | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby215.pdf |
| Operational Policy: | TIPS 08, Establishing Performance Targets | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby226.pdf |
| Assessing / Learning | TIPS 09, Conducting Customer Service Assessments | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby227.pdf |
| | TIPS 10, Conducting Focus Group Interviews | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby233.pdf |
| | TIPS 11, The Role of Evaluation in USAID | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaby239.pdf |
| | TIPS 12, Guidelines for Indicator and Data Quality | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaca927.pdf |
| | TIPS 13, Building a Results Framework | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/pnaca947.pdf |
| | TIPS 14, Monitoring the Policy Reform Process | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/PNACA949.pdf |
| | TIPS 15, Measuring Institutional Capacity | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/PNACG612.pdf |
| | TIPS 15 Annexes, Measuring Institutional Capacity Annexes | http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs/PNACG624.pdf |
| Global Development Alliance | Tools for Alliance Builders | http://www.usaid.gov/gda/tab.doc |
| Other Issues | Approaches to the Policy Dialogue | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/poldia/poldia.pdf |
| | Closing of Mission Checklists for Normal Closeout | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/500/5276s2.p df |

| CATEGORY | ADDITIONAL HELP TITLE | AVAILABLE AT |
|--------------|---|---|
| | Human Resources Tools Available to Assist Overseas Missions in Establishing Team-Based Organizational Structures | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/200saw.p |
| | Local Organizations in Development | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/localorg/localorg.pdf |
| | PD #1 – Narcotics | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd01.pdf |
| Other Issues | PD #66 – Criteria for Determining USAID Loan Terms and for Requesting Acceleration of Loan Repayments | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/200/pd66.pdf |
| | Technical Officer's Guide for Evaluating Contractor Performance (part of the Past Performance Handbook - Contractor Performance Report Cards) | http://www.usaid.gov/pubs/ads/300/30259m1 .pdf |

200.6 DEFINITIONS

Effective Date: 01/31/2003

The terms and definitions listed below have been incorporated into the ADS Glossary. See the <u>ADS Glossary</u> for all ADS terms and definitions.

A. Acronyms and Abbreviations

| Acronym | Term |
|---------|--|
| A&A | Acquisition and Assistance |
| AA | Assistant Administrator |
| AAPD | Acquisition and Assistance Policy Directive |
| AA/M | Assistant Administrator/Bureau for Management |
| AAD | Activity Approval Document |
| ADS | Automated Directives System |
| AIDAR | Agency for International Development Acquisition Regulations |
| AIS | Activity Information Sheet |
| ANE | Bureau for Asia and the Near East |
| AO | Agreement Officer |
| APP | Annual Performance Plan |
| APR | Annual Performance Report (see PAR) |
| ASP | Agency Strategic Plan |
| BHR | Former Bureau for Humanitarian Response |
| BPBS | Bureau Program and Budget Submission |
| CBJ | Congressional Budget Justification |
| CDIE | Center for Development Information and Evaluation (see DEI) |
| CE | Categorical Exclusion |

| Acronym | Term |
|---------|---|
| CFO | Chief Financial Officer |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CIB | Contract Information Bulletin (see AAPD) |
| CO | Contracting Officer |
| CP | Conditions Precedent |
| СР | Congressional Presentation (see Congressional Budget Justification) |
| CTO | Cognizant Technical Officer |
| DAA | Deputy Assistant Administrator |
| DAP | Development Assistance Proposal |
| DCAA | Defense Contract Audit Agency |
| DCHA | Bureau for Democracy, Conflict and Humanitarian Assistance |
| DEC | Development Experience Clearinghouse |
| DEI | Office of Development Evaluation and Information |
| DHHS | Department of Health and Human Services |
| DHS | Demographic and Health Surveys |
| DOA | Delegation of Authority |
| E&E | Bureau for Europe and Eurasia |
| EA | Environmental Assessment |
| EGAT | Bureau for Economic Growth, Agriculture and Trade |
| EXO | Executive Officer |
| FAA | Foreign Assistance Act |
| FAR | Federal Acquisition Regulation |
| FAR | Fixed Amount Reimbursement |
| FAS | Freight Along Side |
| FMFIA | Federal Managers Financial Integrity Act |
| FOB | Freight-on-Board |
| FOG | Field Operations Guide |
| FSA | Freedom Support Act |
| FSN | Foreign Service National |
| FSNDH | Foreign Service National Direct Hire |
| FSNPSC | Foreign Service National Personal Services Contract or Contractor |
| G | Former Bureau for Global Programs, Field Support, and Research (see Pillar Bureaus) |
| GAO | General Accounting Office |
| GC | Office of General Counsel |
| GDA | Global Development Alliance |
| GH | Bureau for Global Health |
| GPRA | Government Performance and Results Act |
| IAA | Interagency Agreement |
| IASP | International Affairs Strategic Plan |
| ICASS | International Cooperative Administrative Support Services |
| IEE | Initial Environmental Examination |
| IG | Inspector General |
| 10 | mopodor Conordi |

| Acronym | Term |
|------------------|---|
| Acronym | |
| IMF | International Monetary Fund |
| IR LAC | Intermediate Result Bureau for Latin America and the Caribbean |
| LOC | Letter of Credit |
| LPA | Bureau for Legislative and Public Affairs |
| M | Bureau for Management |
| M/FM | Bureau for Management, Office of Financial Management |
| M/HR | Bureau for Management, Office of Human Resources |
| M/IRM | Bureau for Management, Office of Information Resources Management |
| M/OP | Bureau for Management, Office of Procurement |
| MAARD | Modified Acquisition and Assistance Request Document |
| MCH | Maternal and Child Health Services |
| MFR | Managing for Results |
| MOU | Memorandum of Understanding |
| MPP | Mission Performance Plan |
| NGO | Non-Governmental Organization |
| NOA | New Obligating Authority |
| NPA | Non-Project Assistance |
| NSS | National Security Strategy |
| OCI | Organizational Conflict of Interest |
| OE OMB | Operating Expense |
| OMB | Office of Management and Budget |
| OpU or OU OYB | Operating Unit Operating Year Budget |
| PAAD | Program Assistance Approval Document |
| PAIP | Program Assistance Initial Proposal |
| PAR | Performance and Accountability Report |
| PASA | Participating Agency Service Agreement |
| PD | Policy Directive |
| PD&L | Program Development & Learning |
| P.L. 480 | Public Law 480 (food aid) |
| PMP | Performance Management Plan |
| PPA | Public-Private Alliance |
| PPC | Bureau for Policy and Program Coordination |
| PSC | Personal Services Contract or Contractor |
| PSO | Program Support Objective |
| PVO | Private Voluntary Organization |
| R4 | Results Review and Resource Request (see Annual Report) |
| RCO | Regional Contracting Officer |
| REFTEL RFA | Referenced Cable Request for Application |
| RFP | Request for Application Request for Proposal |
| RLA | Regional Legal Advisor |
| RSSA | Resources Support Services Agreement |
| . (00/) | 1.00001000 Dupport Dorvidoo / Igroomont |

| Acronym | Term |
|---------|---|
| SEED | Support for Eastern European Democracy |
| SLC | Special Letter of Credit |
| SO | Strategic Objective |
| SOAg | Strategic Objective Agreement |
| SOW | Scope of Work, Statement of Work |
| SpO | Special Objective |
| SpOAg | Special Objective Agreement |
| TAACS | Technical Advisors in AIDS and Child Survival |
| TCN | Third Country National |
| TCNPSC | Third Country National Personal Services Contract or Contractor |
| UEC | Urban and Environmental Credit Program |
| UN | United Nations |
| USAID/W | USAID, Washington |
| USDH | United States Direct Hire |
| USG | United States Government |
| USPSC | United States Personal Service Contract or Contractor |

B. Defined Terms

accountability for results (or results accountability)

The establishment of clear responsibility and expectation related to achieving formally approved results. Expectations concerning accountability vary with the degree of control that an individual or Operating Unit has over the results they are managing. (Chapters 200-203)

accrual

The estimated cost of goods and/or services or other performance received but not yet paid for by the Agency. Accruals are calculated for specific agreements and help provide current information on the financial status of an activity (or group of activities), agreement, or program. In the case of construction, they may be based on percent completed. (See <u>ADS Series 600</u> for a more technical discussion of this term) (Chapters 200-203)

activity

A set of actions through which inputs, such as commodities, technical assistance, training, or resource transfers, are mobilized to produce specific outputs, such as vaccinations given, schools built, microenterprise loans issued, or policies changed. Activities are undertaken to achieve Strategic or Special Objectives that have been formally approved and notified to Congress. (Chapters 200-203)

Activity Approval Document (AAD)

A document that approves one or more activities for implementation. (Chapters 200-203)

Activity Manager

Member of a Strategic Objective (SO) Team or sub-team who is responsible for the day-to-day management of one or more specific activities. The Activity Manager is selected by the SO Team, and may or may not also have the delegated authorities of a Cognizant Technical Officer (CTO), whose authority to carry out contract management functions are designated by a Contracting or Agreement Officer. (See "Cognizant Technical Officer (CTO)") (Chapters 200-203)

Agency goal

A long-term development result in a specific area to which USAID programs contribute. An Agency goal has been identified as a specific goal in the Agency Strategic Plan (ASP). (Chapters 200-203)

Agency Mission Statement

The ultimate purpose of Agency programs; it is the unique contribution of USAID to U.S. national interests. There is one Agency Mission, and it is described in the Agency Strategic Plan (ASP). (Chapters 200-203)

Agency Objective

A development result that contributes to the achievement of an Agency goal as defined in the Agency Strategic Plan (ASP). Agency Objectives generally denote preferred approaches or areas of emphasis for programs that support specific goals. They should not be confused with Strategic or Special Objectives. Agency Objectives provide a general framework for more detailed planning that occurs for specific country and regional programs. (Chapters 200-203)

Agency Program Approach

A tactic commonly used to achieve a particular Agency Objective. Several program approaches are associated with each Agency Objective. These are identified in the Agency Strategic Plan (ASP). (Chapters 200-203)

Agency Strategic Plan (ASP)

An overall Agency plan for providing development and humanitarian assistance, which articulates the Agency mission, goals, objectives, and program approaches. The Agency Strategic Plan is coordinated with and reflects U.S. Government foreign policy priorities, as described in the International Affairs Strategic Plan (IASP). (Chapters 200-203)

agent

Term no longer used. (See "Partner")

Annual Report

An annual document produced by each Operating Unit and submitted to the responsible Bureau to report on past performance, future resources needed, and data needed for Agency-wide management, budget decisions, and external reporting. Annual Reports

began in 2001 and replaced the Results Review and Resource Request (R4). (Chapters 200-203)

attribution

The extent to which a result is caused by USAID activities. (Chapters 200-203)

baseline

(See "Performance Baseline")

Bureau Planning Framework

A description of the goals and priorities for a sector or region (in some cases a country). It serves to guide Operating Unit Strategic Plans within that Bureau.

Cognizant Technical Officer (CTO)

The individual who performs functions that are designated by the Contracting or Agreement Officer, or are specifically designated by policy or regulation as part of contract or assistance administration. In other parts of the U.S. Government, the synonymous term is usually Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR). (See "Activity Manager" and ADS Series 300) (Chapters 200-203)

conditions precedent (CP)

A condition or set of conditions that must be met before USAID will agree to disburse funding (for example, if the host country laws require legislative approval of the Strategic Objective Agreement (SOAG), then USAID must receive evidence of that approval before funds disbursement).

core member

A member of a Strategic Objective (SO) Team carrying out a specific U.S. governmental function for that SO. (Chapters 200-203)

core team

Term no longer used. (See "Core Member" and "Strategic Objective (SO) Team")

covenant

A condition that must be met during the performance of the Strategic Objective Agreement (SOAG) (such as after disbursement of USAID funding).

critical assumption

A general condition under which the development hypothesis or strategy for achieving the objective will hold true. Critical assumptions are outside the control or influence of USAID and its partners (in other words, they are not results), but they reflect conditions likely to affect the achievement of results in the Results Framework, such as the level of world prices or the openness of export markets. (Chapters 200-203)

customer

The person or group who is receiving a service, or who is considered the recipient or beneficiary of a given result or output. There are several different types of USAID customers:

- *Ultimate customers:* Those host country individuals, especially the socially- and economically-disadvantaged, who are beneficiaries of USAID assistance and whose participation is essential to achieving sustainable development results.
- Intermediate customers: Those organizations, including host country governments, that receive USAID services to implement programs that are designed to benefit the ultimate customer. This includes private voluntary organizations (PVOs), contractors, and host country entities.
- Internal/process customer: Bureaus, Offices, Operating Units, and individuals within USAID that benefit from and participate in the activities undertaken by other Bureaus, Offices, Operating Units and individuals within the Agency.
- Washington and U.S.-based customers: U.S. Government entities, or individuals representing such an entity, at whose behest USAID carries out its programs and who have a stake in the program results that USAID produces. Examples include Congress, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Department of State. Congress represents U.S. taxpayers. (Chapters 200-203)

customer service plan

A planning document previously required for every individual Operating Unit. The plan is no longer required. This term is no longer used. (Chapters 200-203)

delegation of authority (DOA)

A document that officially recognizes when an official, vested with certain powers (authorities), extends that power (authority) to another individual or position within the chain of command. (Chapters 201-202)

deobligation

The process of removing unneeded funds from an obligating instrument. This step is typically done upon completion of activities when unliquidated obligations might have become excessive or might no longer be needed for their original purpose. (Chapters 200-203)

development actors

USAID has recently expanded its concept of development actors to include the full range of organizations both public and private who seek to achieve improvements in society. These groups can include private sector companies, foundations, universities, philanthropic leaders, multilateral organizations, faith-based membership organizations, and ethnic diaspora sending money home to their country of origin. (Chapters 200-203)

Development Alliance

(See "Public-Private Alliance")

development hypothesis

A narrative description of the specific causal linkages between Intermediate Results (IRs) and a Strategic Objective (SO) that are expected to lead to the achievement of the SO. The hypothesis is based on sound development theory, knowledge, and experience within the context of a specific SO. Generally, the term refers to plausible linkages, and not statistically accurate relationships. (Chapters 200-203)

disbursement

Payments made by the Agency to other parties using cash, check, or electronic transfer. (Chapters 200-203)

due diligence

The technical term for the necessary assessment of the past performance, reputation, and future plans of a prospective alliance partner, private sector, or other entity, with regard to various business practices and principles. This assessment of a prospective alliance partner would normally involve, at a minimum, examining their social, environmental, and financial track records. (Chapter 200-203)

environmental impact statement

A detailed study of the reasonably foreseeable positive and negative environmental impacts of a proposed USAID action and its reasonable alternatives on the United States, the global environment, or areas outside the jurisdiction of any nation. (See ADS 204 and Mandatory Reference, 22 CFR 216) (Chapters 200-203)

evaluation

A relatively structured, analytical effort undertaken selectively to answer specific management questions regarding USAID funded assistance programs or activities. (Chapters 200-203)

expanded team

Term no longer used. (See "Strategic Objective (SO) Team")

expenditures

The sum total of disbursements and accruals in a given time period. These are typically calculated for specific agreements, activities, and programs. Expenditures are estimates of the total cost incurred by the Agency for a given agreement, activity, or program. Also referred to as accrued expenditure. (See ADS Series 600 for a more technical discussion of this term) (Chapters 200-203)

framework goal

A higher-level development result to which a Strategic Objective (SO) contributes. Framework Goals are beyond the manageable interest of an Operating Unit either because of the timeframe necessary to achieve them or because they address very broad objectives. (Chapters 200-203)

gender

The economic, political, and cultural attributes and opportunities associated with being male or female. The social definitions of what it means to be male or female vary among cultures and change over time. (Chapters 200-203)

Global Development Alliance

The Agency's new business model promoting public-private alliances as a central element of USAID's strategic assessment, planning and programming efforts. This initiative involves recognition of a changed role for USAID in development assistance, outreach to an expanded range of potential partners, and organizational changes within the Agency. (Chapter 200-203)

host country

The country in which a USAID funded activity takes place. (Chapters 200-203)

implementation letters

Formal correspondence between USAID and another party following a formal agreement that obligates funding. Implementation letters serve several functions, including providing more detailed implementation procedures, providing details on terms of an agreement, recording the completion of conditions precedent to disbursements, and approving funding commitments and mutually agreed upon modifications to program descriptions. Formerly known as Project Implementation Letters (PIL). (Chapters 200-203)

indicator

(See "Performance Indicator")

Initial Environmental Examination

The first review of the reasonably foreseeable effects of a proposed action on the environment. Its function is to provide a brief statement of the factual basis for a Threshold Decision as to whether an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement will be required. (See <u>ADS 204</u>) (Chapters 200-203)

input

A resource, such as technical assistance, commodities, training, or provision of USAID staff, either Operating Expenses (OE) or program funded, that is used to create an output. (Chapters 200-203)

instrument

A contract, grant, bilateral agreement, or other mechanism that obligates or subobligates program or Operating Expenses (OE) funds. (Chapters 200-203)

intermediate customer (See "customer")

Intermediate Result (IR)

An important result that is seen as an essential step to achieving a Strategic Objective (SO). IRs are measurable results that may capture a number of discrete and more specific results. IRs may also help to achieve other IRs. (Chapters 200-203)

internal/process customer (See "customer")

International Affairs Strategic Plan (IASP)

The IASP is an overarching framework for the international affairs goals of the executive branch of the Federal Government and is prepared by the Secretary of State. (Chapters 200-203)

leveraging

Significant resource mobilization. In the case of public-private alliances, USAID seeks the mobilization of resources of other actors on a 1:1 or greater basis. Resources may include funds, in-kind contributions, and intellectual property.

manageable interest

The concept of manageable interest recognizes that achievement of results requires joint action on the part of many other actors such as host country governments, institutions, other donors, civil society, and the private sector. When an objective is within USAID's manageable interest, it means that we have reason to believe that our ability to influence, organize, and support others around commonly shared goals can lead to the achievement of desired results, and that the probability of success is high enough to warrant expending program and staff resources. A result is within an entity's manageable interest when there is sufficient reason to believe that its achievement can be significantly and critically influenced by interventions of that entity. (Chapters 200-203)

Management Agreement

An agreement between an Operating Unit and its Bureau that provides approval to implement a proposed Strategic Plan. The Management Agreement provides a summary of agreements on a set of strategic and other objectives, confirmation of estimated resources over the Strategic Plan timeframe, SO start and end dates, and additional guidance on any special management concerns. Formerly called Management Contract. (Chapters 200-203)

Management Contract

Term no longer used. (See "Management Agreement")

Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

A document that sets forth an agreement between parties. A Memorandum of Understanding may be used to cover a range of topics including results to be achieved, activities to be implemented, and the respective roles and responsibilities of each party. An MOU is not used for obligating funds. However, an MOU may be used to confirm an

agreement with a host government on a program that USAID will fund directly through an obligating instrument signed with other parties. (Chapters 200-203)

mortgage

A claim on future resources, which has been authorized in the Operating Unit's Management Agreement; the difference between the total authorized level of funding and the cumulative total amount of funds obligated to a particular strategic objective, Intermediate Result, or activity. (Chapters 202, 602)

National Security Strategy (NSS)

The NSS is an overarching U.S. Government policy document which covers the national security principles underlying U.S. foreign policy. As published in September 2002, its main themes include promoting "human dignity" through political and economic freedom; providing security against terrorism and weapons of mass destruction; working with others to defuse regional conflicts; and strengthening America's national security institutions. Objectives of development assistance are central to the document, which was prepared by the National Security Council. (Chapters 200-203)

non-project assistance (NPA)

Non-project assistance is also known as program assistance. The distinguishing feature of program assistance is the manner in which USAID resources are provided. Under this mode, USAID provides a generalized resource transfer, in the form of foreign exchange or commodities, to the recipient government. This is in contrast to other types of assistance in which USAID finances specific inputs, such as technical assistance, training, equipment, vehicles, or capital construction. (This distinction parallels distinctions in law and previous USAID usage between project and non-project assistance.) (Chapters 200-203)

Operating Units

USAID field Missions, regional entities, and USAID/Washington Offices that expend program funds to achieve approved Strategic Objectives (including Special Objectives and Program Support Objectives). (Chapters 200-203)

Operating Expenses (OE)

Costs related to personnel, other administration costs, rental, and depreciation of fixed assets. (Chapters 200-203)

operations policy

Program procedures, rules, and regulations affecting the management of USAID internal systems, including budget, financial management, personnel, procurement, and program operations. (Chapters 200-203)

outcome

A result sought by USAID. In ADS Chapters 200-203, the term "outcome" is equivalent to "result." (See "result") (Chapters 200-203)

output

A tangible, immediate, and intended product or consequence of an activity within USAID's control. Examples of outputs include people fed, personnel trained, better technologies developed, and new construction. Deliverables included in contracts will generally be considered outputs, as will tangible products and consequences of USAID grantees. (Chapters 200-203)

parameter setting (see planning parameters)

partner

An organization or individual with which/whom the Agency collaborates to achieve mutually agreed upon objectives and to secure participation of ultimate customers. Partners include host country governments, private voluntary organizations, indigenous and international non-governmental organizations (NGOs), universities, other U.S. Government agencies, United Nations and other multilateral organizations, professional and business associations, and private businesses and individuals. (Chapters 200-203)

performance baseline

The value of a performance indicator before the implementation of USAID-supported activities that contribute to the achievement of the relevant result. (Chapter 200-203)

performance indicator

A particular characteristic or dimension used to measure intended changes defined by a Results Framework. Performance indicators are used to observe progress and to measure actual results compared to expected results. Performance indicators help answer how or if an Operating Unit or SO Team is progressing towards its objective, rather than why such progress is or is not being made. Performance indicators may measure performance at any level of a Results Framework (Strategic Objective level or Intermediate Results level). (Chapters 200-203)

performance management

Performance management is the systematic process of monitoring the results of activities; collecting and analyzing performance information to track progress toward planned results; using performance information to influence program decision making and resource allocation; and communicating results achieved, or not attained, to advance organizational learning and tell the Agency's story. (Chapters 200-203)

Performance Management Plan

A tool used by an Operating Unit and SO Team to plan and manage the process of assessing and reporting progress towards achieving a Strategic Objective. Known as a "performance monitoring plan" until 2002. (Chapters 201-203)

Performance Monitoring Plan (see Performance Management Plan)

performance target

Specific, planned level of result to be achieved within an explicit timeframe. (Chapters 200-203)

Pillars

USAID's four Pillars are its new strategic orientation encompassing all USAID-managed programs regardless of account. The Pillars are the Global Development Alliance; Economic Growth, Agriculture and Trade; Global Health; and Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance.

Pillar Bureaus

Pillar Bureaus provide leadership and innovation in their respective fields. The three Pillar Bureaus are Economic Growth, Agriculture and Trade (EGAT); Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance (DCHA); and Global Health (GH). The activities funded by the Pillar Bureaus are primarily intended to maximize program dollars available to Operating Units in the field. Pillar Bureaus concentrate on program activities that support Operating Units in the field. (Chapters 200-203)

planning parameters

The limits, constraints, and options within which decision-making and planning takes place, especially for the development of Strategic Plans. (Chapters 200-203)

Portfolio Review

A periodic review of all aspects of an Operating Unit or Strategic Objective (SO) Team's programs, often held in preparation for submission of the Annual Report. (Chapter 200-203)

program assistance

Program assistance is also known as Non-project Assistance. The distinguishing feature of program assistance is the manner in which USAID resources are provided. Under this mode, USAID provides a generalized resource transfer, in the form of foreign exchange or commodities, to the recipient government. This is in contrast to other types of assistance in which USAID finances specific inputs, such as technical assistance, training, equipment, vehicles, or capital construction. (This distinction parallels distinctions in law and previous USAID usage between project and non-project assistance.) (Chapters 200-203)

Program Assistance Approval Document (PAAD)

An internal USAID document used before 1994 approving non-project assistance. Term no longer used. (Chapters 200-203)

Program Assistance Initial Proposal (PAIP)

An internal USAID document used before 1994 to initiate and identify proposed non-project assistance, including commodity import programs. It was analogous to the former Project Identification Document (PID). Term no longer used. (Chapters 200-203)

Program Development & Learning (PD&L) Objectives

PD&L objectives are used by Bureaus to finance program development, program assessments, and learning efforts that do not fit within the scope of existing Strategic Objectives (SOs). They are intended to fund studies, analyses, pilots, preimplementation, and evaluative work for developing future SOs, for assessing completed SOs, or for disseminating lessons learned. (Chapters 200-203)

Program Support Objective (PSO)

A Program Support Objective contains activities being implemented exclusively to support achievement of other Strategic or Special Objectives in one or multiple Operating Units. The results of the activities under a PSO should be visible through and attributed to another Strategic or Special Objective. (Chapters 200-203)

project

A project should be considered one of several possible types of activities that contribute to a given result or set of results. It is a structured undertaking (often involving considerable money, personnel and equipment) of limited duration that is developed through various administrative, analytical, and approval processes in order to achieve a tangible objective (such as school construction project, adult literacy project). (Chapters 200-203) Note: The current term is defined differently than before 1995.

Project Identification Document (PID)

An internal USAID document used before 1995 that initially identifies and describes a proposed project. Term no longer used. (Chapters 200-203)

Project Paper (PP)

An internal USAID document used before 1995 that provides a description and appraisal of a project and the plan for implementation. The project paper was used to obtain formal approval. Term no longer used. (Chapters 200-203)

public-private alliance (PPA)

An agreement between two or more parties involving joint definition of a development problem and shared contributions to its solution. Alliances are characterized by a shared understanding of the development problem or issue; a shared belief that an alliance will be more effective than any approach taken by a single actor; a shared commitment of resources; significant use of limited resources; and perhaps most important, a willingness to share risks. (Chapters 200-203)

result

A significant, intended, and measurable change in the condition of a customer, or a change in the host country, institutions, or other entities that will affect the customer directly or indirectly. Results are typically broader than USAID-funded outputs and require support from other donors and partners not within USAID's control. (Chapters 200-203)

Results Framework

A planning, communications, and management tool, which conveys the development hypothesis implicit in the strategy and the cause-and-effect linkages between the Intermediate Results (IR) and the Strategic Objective (SO). A Results Framework includes the SO and the IRs necessary to achieve the SO, whether funded by USAID or its partners. It includes any critical assumptions that must hold for the development hypothesis to lead to achieving the relevant objective. Typically, it is laid out in graphic form supplemented by narrative. (Chapters 200-203)

results package

A results package is a shorthand designation for items that contribute to achieving a particular result. Some Operating Units have used the term as a name for documentation used to obtain approval for a set of activities and to define SO subteams that concentrate on a particular new set of activities. The term is no longer "officially" used. Documentation to approve activities is called Activity Approval Documentation. (Chapters 200-203)

Special Objective (SpO)

A Special Objective (SpO) is an objective that is difficult to define and measure or is not directly linked to a goal in the Agency Strategic Plan. Special Objectives are expected to be small in scope, relative to the total portfolio of any Bureau. Special Objectives should meet at least one of the following criteria:

- Represents a response to a legislated earmark or special foreign policy interest beyond what is described in the Agency Strategic Plan or that does not contribute directly to an Operating Unit's Strategic Objectives.
- Is exploratory or experimental in nature, such as development of a new program area.
- Is research and contributes to the achievement of an Agency goal.
- Responds to an emergency or short-term post-crisis stabilization effort, such as when an interim Strategic Plan is indicated. (Chapters 200-203)

stakeholders

Those who are affected by a development outcome or have an interest in a development outcome. Stakeholders include customers (including internal, intermediate, and ultimate customers) but can include more broadly all those who might be affected adversely, or indirectly, by a USAID activity who might not be identified as a "customer." (Chapters 200-203)

strategic budgeting

USAID's programming policy, which closely links resource allocation with strategic priorities and performance. It is a core element of results-based management.

Strategic Objective (SO)

The most ambitious result that a USAID Operating Unit, along with its partners, can materially affect, and for which it is willing to be held accountable. SOs can be designed for an Operating Unit to provide analytic, technical, logistical, or other types of

support to the SOs of other Operating Units (whether bilateral, multi-country, or global in nature). (Chapters 200-203)

Strategic Objective Agreement (SOAG)

A formal agreement that obligates funds between USAID and the host government or other parties, such as, in certain cases, regional organizations created by governments. It sets forth a mutually agreed upon understanding of the timeframe, results expected to be achieved, means of measuring those results, resources, responsibilities, and contributions of participating entities for achieving a clearly defined Strategic Objective. (Chapters 200-203)

Strategic Objective (SO) Team

A group of people with complementary skills who are empowered to achieve a specific USAID development objective for which they are willing to be held accountable. The primary responsibility of SO Teams is to make decisions and implement activities related to accomplishing the objective. Another essential function is to ensure open communication and collaboration across organizational boundaries at all phases of the development process. SO Teams may decide to organize sub-teams if they wish to manage complex SOs more efficiently. SO Teams are composed of USAID employees and those partners and customers considered to be essential for achieving the SO. (Chapters 200-203)

strategic plan

A document used to describe and obtain approval for one or more Strategic Objectives or Special Objectives to be implemented by an Operating Unit. Approved Operating Unit Strategic Plans represent an Agency-wide commitment to a set of objectives and Intermediate Results (IRs) to be accomplished by an Operating Unit. (Chapters 200-203)

strategic plan timeframe

The time period in which USAID plans to make funds available for a given set of Strategic, Special, or Program Support Objectives. The strategic plan sets the overall vision and strategic directions for this timeframe.

Strategic Support Objective (SSO)

Term no longer used. (See "Strategic Objective (SO)")

target

(See "performance target")

ultimate customer (See "customer")

Washington customer (See "customer")

200 012103 w042303

.

In the "planning" stage, Strategic Plans and Activity Plans are developed, and performance targets are set. In the figure, all these activities then feed into the "achieving" stage.

During the "assessing/learning" stage, communication and decision-making activities are undertaken, and actual performance outcomes are measured. In the figure, results from this stage then lead to potential new management initiatives and potential changes to the mission/vision of the Agency, and eventually flow back into the "planning" stage.

¹ Figure 200A, Managing for Results – This figure illustrates the links between "planning," "achieving," and "assessing/learning" as a continuous, dynamic cycle. The figure depicts the "achieving" stage as the centerpiece of this cycle, with "planning" and "assessing/learning" as two stages that serve to bolster the "achieving" stage. The overall USAID management system depicted also includes defining a mission/vision within the Agency core values, and taking management initiatives into consideration.